dated constructions comprise 73% of the total in Italy and 68% in Africa. Generally the material is well collected, although there are little gaps, too. The building of the so-called agora of Velia (=gymnasium?) in the 2nd century B.C. is not mentioned (cf. Johannowsky, PP 204—207 [1982] 235). Should we, also, consider IG XIV 742 (dat. I/II cent A.D.) from Naples a public construction, although the word  $d\gamma o \rho \epsilon \upsilon \tau \eta \rho \iota \sigma v$  is problematic? It is not even cited here. Some unknown consul did, moreover, restore the baths of Naples (IG XIV 749). This inscription should be included at least among the non-dated. Besides, there was an imperial *macellum* and a *moles* which was built in the year 202 A.D. (for these see e.g. Napoli antica, Sopr. arch. per le prov. di Napoli e Caserta, 1985).

One wonders, also, that so few Greek inscriptions from Italy are cited (SEG is totally lacking). Should we believe that among them there are no inscriptions concerning the building activity of the Romans? (see, however, at least IG XIV 637, although it is very fragmentary).

Some minor points: p. 341, still under Naples: CIL X 1481 = IG XIV 729 is most probably connected with the restaurations of the baths by the emperor Titus, see SEG IV 95. P. 86 fn. 112 and p. 88 under Puteoli: the editions of the Tablets of Murecine by C. Giordano and F. Sbordone are now proved to be totally useless, cf. the new editions by G. Camodeca in Puteoli 6 (1982) 3—53. P. 341: the *chalcidicum* mentioned under Naples (AE 1956, 20) belongs better to Puteoli, see Camodeca, Puteoli 3 (1979) 22, fn. 24.

My remarks only concern these few cities, but I think that a study of this kind definitely includes a certain amount of superficiality. However, if the accuracy is as high everywhere as in those cases I have studied, this book is a very useful aid to anyone who needs this kind of information. This book has good indexes and a bibliography that is arranged according to the cities, and so gives a general picture easily. A book that should have been included is Les "Bourgeoisies" municipales italiennes aux IIe at Ier siècles av. J.-C., 1983, which has some very important information concerning esp. Latium and Campania. Concerning Fregellae, two works could have been cited: Fregellae. La storia e gli scavi by F. Coarelli, 1981 and G. Colasanti: Fregellae. Storia e topografia, pres. di F. Coarelli (1904) 1983. But I believe that the bibliography is not intended to be exhaustive.

Martti Leiwo

## Frank Brommer: Odysseus. Die Taten und Leiden des Helden in antiker Kunst und Literatur. Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt 1983. X, 132 S. 48 Tafeln. DM 60.—.

F. Brommer has utilized his 'Vasenlisten' (<sup>3</sup> 1973) and 'Denkmälerlisten' III (1976) in this well-illustrated handbook concerning Odysseus in ancient art. References to the hero in early Greek literature and in some later sources are also given, but there is no actual discussion of the treatment of the Odysseus theme in ancient literature, nor of the problems of the relations between literature and art. The book seems to be addressed to non-specialists in the first place; the documentation attempted in the footnotes is not consistent, and all readers will miss a bibliography.